

DRAFT  
WHAT IS EXPECTED OF THE SCENARIO EVALUATION  
October 19, 1999

The same principle is applied to all three categories of evaluation below: Scenarios should be evaluated with respect to the positions of the various interests and to effects of the entire CalFed program.

ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION

1. Changes in exports, salvage, indirect mortality, and various flows, relative to several baselines, depending on the positions of the various interest groups
2. Degree of compliance with requirements proposed by fishery agencies
3. Amount of b(2) water used according to different accounting methods
4. Changes in population level effects, relative to several baselines, depending on the positions of the various interest groups
5. Comparison of these changes with effects of other CalFed programs for environmental improvement, relative to several baselines, depending on the positions of the various interest groups.

WATER SUPPLY EVALUATION

1. Exports in average years and during dry periods relative to the Accord plus upstream AFRP basis, to desired export water supply (no dip, + 200 KAF/yr mid Stage 1, + 400 KAF/yr late Stage 1), and to demand
2. Deliveries to different areas (Sacramento Valley, San Joaquin Tributaries, MWD, West Side, Kern, etc.) relative to desired water supply, and to demand
3. Overall comparison of demand to supplies plus CalFed programs for conservation, efficiency, and reuse for different areas.

WATER QUALITY EVALUATION

1. Comparison of water quality to CUWA goals for drinking water
2. Translation of Delta export or diversion water quality to effects on water treatment cost and finished water quality
3. Determination of adverse effects on water quality from water supply and environmental actions.